

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,740	08/01/2003	Laurent Bellaiche	8793-52026	3856
44692 75	590 02/08/2006	EXAMINER		
WRIGHT, LINDSEY & JENNINGS LLP 200 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 2300			KOSLOW, CAROL M	
LITTLE ROCK, AR 72201-3699		11L 2300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1755	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/632,740	BELLAICHE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		C. Melissa Koslow	1755			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 is/are withdrawn fr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	t(s)					
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,740

Art Unit: 1755

This action is in response to applicants' amendment of 16 December 2005.

Claim 12 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply of 5 August 2005.

The art rejection is withdrawn since the slides of the presentation given in February 2001 do not disclosure the invention to the extent necessary to enable a person of ordinary skill in the art to make or use the invention and thus are not a printed publication. (footnote 4 of *In re Klopfenstein*, 72 USPQ2d 1117, CAFC 2004 and MPEP 2128.02).

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no teaching in the originally filed disclosure as to the value of v over the claimed temperature range of about 50 K and below the Curie temperature. With respect to the value of v, applicants' argue in the reply of 16 December 2005 that the value range of v at each temperature is different. The specification only teaches the v values at 20K. It is silent as to the v values in the claimed range. Accordingly, due to applicants' newly presented arguments, the claimed material was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1755

There is no teaching in the originally filed disclosure as to how to make a lead scandium niobate having the claimed atomic structure. The statement that this material could be formed by pulse-laser deposition or MBE does not provide enough information as to the conditions necessary to form the claimed alloy. It is known in the art that the processing conditions must be controlled otherwise the claimed atomic crystal structure will not form. Simply forming films of lead scandium niobate by pulse-laser deposition or MBE would form the discussed disordered niobate, not the claimed atomic order. There is no disclosure as to these necessary process conditions. Accordingly, the claimed material was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at (571) 272-1233.

The fax number for all official communications is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk February 3, 2006 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700